G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

(1929-20)
Mysore

File No. 437-19.
Serial Nos. ~~155~~ 2-3.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for December 1924

SUBJECT

Petition from Sri A Tirumalachar of Melkote praying that an annual allotment of Rs 15/- for the celebration of Sri Pulla Lokachar's Tirunakshatram may be sanctioned as usual.

Filed

G. R. S. 11] 1919-20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzr)

NOTES.

File No. ~~164~~ 437-19

FILE HEADING.

From

Petition dated 22-1-20 from M.A. Tirumalachar of Melkote.

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No. 1431	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 753

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

The petitioner prays that an annual allotment of Rs.15/- for the celebration of Sri Pulla Lokachar's Tirunakshatram (Sravana-nakshatram) may be sanctioned as a similar amount has been sanctioned for Sri Vedanta Desikar's Tirunakshatram, also sravanam.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

H. C. D. in File 1894/04-5

This request is an old one which has already been considered & vetoed by the first ~~Government~~: so far back as 1907 & 50

NOTES—First Sheet.

F S III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 74 of 191

Serial No

2 The facts have been explained in ~~para~~ paras 5 & 6 of my note in connection with a petition on the same subject from Srirangapatam.

I submit the ~~note~~ note & the connected file for ref.

AMS
8/4/20

(Notes)

H. S.

4 No Jodi Grant can be made

Second Member) [illegible] 20/4/20

6670-84
20-4-1920.

5) It seems to me, from a perusal of the papers on the file, that the complication is mainly - if not entirely - due to the circumstance that the natal star of H.H. the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar III happened to be the same as that of the saints in question, viz. "Shravana". It is nothing strange that with the lapse of years one got identified with the other. This the correct inference is that the services were meant to be

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 164 of 1919

Serial No.

performed on the day of the natal
Star of the Sovereign for the time being.
This is confirmed by the fact that
the Star now in use is "Chitta" which
is the natal, one of our present [illegible]
Gracious Sovereign. I have not been
able to find however any reference to
any change having been made in
1868, when the Star should have been adopted
that of our Maharaja of beloved memory
H.H. the late Chamarajendra Wodeyar. It
appears to me that in the light of
the above, it would be an invidious
& unjustifiable distinction to make to
allow special services in the case of
one saint & to deny it in the other's.
It is better to be consistent in the matter
for more reasons than one. Either all
the saints should be equally treated or none
at all. The latter would perhaps be too drastic
a measure to adopt. & so I should like to
know if the former is not within the
range of practical politics.

[illegible] 21.4.20

[illegible] 21/4/20

6 In letter no 318 dated

R/

NOTE—Con'n sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus. File No. 1021 of 1915.

Serial No.

6 February 1907, the Dy Commr, Mysore has stated as follows:—

"I have the honour to state that from the Vernacular records ... it may be seen that the Tasdik was granted to Vedanta Desikar Sannadhi in 1819 while Pillailokacharrar was consecrated 10 years later i.e. in 1829. It is reported that the Utsavams were, as per Manual, being conducted in both the Sannadhis till 1896, the Tasdik amount being utilized for services in Vedanta Desikar's Sannadhi only and the voluntary contributions offered by the devotees being utilized in the Pillailoka-Char's Sannadhi."

In G. O. dated 24-6-1904, it is observed as follows:—

"From the extract of the Tasdik Patti of the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote, sanctioned by H. H. the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur III in 1819 it is observed that expenditure on account of Sravana Nakshathra for Vedanta Desikar has been

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Luz File No. 104 of 1915.

Serial No.

included. . . . . .

The allotment made in the Tasdik patti for Serriges on the Sravana Nakshattra day which is the Tiru Nakshattra of Vedanta Desikar will be utilized for the purpose as per practice prevailing in the temple at Melkote."

8. It is quite clear from these extracts that the celebration was ordered in the Vedanta Desikar Sannathi on the ground that it had been authorized by the Tasdik patti of 1879. Only a revival of what had been temporarily suspended was sanctioned, according to this order.

But in so far as Pillailokachar is concerned, ~~there is no order~~ the finding that no special Tasdik has ever been sanctioned: in G. dated 19-9-1907, it is stated that there is no reference forthcoming that a special Tasdik was granted for it and no Tasdik

C

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

P

Can therefore be granted now?"
And Govt have also stated in their Order dated 28-1-1910 that they are not in favour of sanctioning a new grant for the Pillai Lokachari Shrine. As pointed out above, the Dy Commr's letter shows that the celebration at this shrine was being conducted out of private contributions.

10) The circumstances relating to the two shrines — viz of Vedanta Desikar and Pillai Lokacharier — have been regarded as quite different and consequently they have not been placed on terms of equality in this matter. It is not possible to deprive the Vedanta Desikar Sannidhi of its ~~rights~~ existing right and Govt have held more than once that they are not prepared to make a fresh grant in favour of Pillai Lokacharier Sannadhi.

~~[illegible]~~ The orders already passed by Govt ~~[illegible]~~

1431

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 104 of 1915

Serial No.

Cannot therefore be modified now
Second Member
[illegible] 11/5/20

7011-219
13-5-920.

(7) I was not for the modification of the existing order passed upon the merits of the case. All that I meant was that a differential method of treatment was better avoided if circumstances permitted of its being done. If the services for "Shravana Nakshatra" day with reference to Vedanta desikachar, have nothing whatever to do with the natal star of H.H. the Late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodeyar III, & if special provision has been made expressly as now stated in that behalf in the Nodik patti, then there can be no two opinions in the matter. The service has to be continued as heretofore. Since Govt. have in the past refused to recognise the claims put forward on behalf of Pullu Wodachar & the services on account of his family day have been conducted from private contributions, it is not advisable to reopen the question now & to alter the existing practice. To sum up no case for any modification in the existing practice has been made out

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1431

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 190

Serial No.

The case is of some importance the file may therefore be submitted to the Dewan previously to issue of orders.

[illegible]
14.5.20.

13) Please draft — stating in reply to petn. that no case for any modification in the existing practice has been made out.

[illegible]
17.5.20

14) D/f. endt. submitted.
[illegible] 15.5.20 [illegible]

15) [illegible]
20/9
(Issue)

16) This is [illegible] the papers handed over to me by the [illegible] [illegible]. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible].
[illegible]

17) File
[illegible] 8.12.20

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] M.A [illegible]

[illegible] - - - - - - - - -

[illegible]

[illegible] 12 [illegible]

[illegible] (1) [illegible] 12 [illegible]

(2) [illegible]

[illegible] (3) [illegible] 1-8 [illegible]

[illegible] 10-20 [illegible]

[illegible] 1000 [illegible]

[illegible] - -

[illegible]

[illegible] 12 [illegible]

[illegible] (2) [illegible]

[illegible] (3) [illegible] 1-8 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 8-1-1918, 13-9-1918 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

8-1-1920
Melcote

1184 [illegible] 86.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..

Diary No. of letter replied to 1275

Section Rev

File No.

Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the 191 .

No. 2319 Rev.

Date of issue 31. 1. 20

Signed by Mr. A. Mrityunjaya Iyer

To

The Dy. Commr.

Mysore Dt.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for enquiry and report a petition dated to this office No 1284 D/7th January 1920 by one Mr. Trimalachar offering an endowment of Rs 1000/- ~~for meeting~~ the interest ~~accruing~~ thereon

over.

[illegible] for
certain stipulated
services to be rendered
in the [illegible]
of the [illegible] Sri
[illegible]
temple.

[illegible]

[illegible]

Dft)

27/1/20

Secy to Govt
[illegible] Dept

17.1.20

26/1/20

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D 1744—GPB—3 ,000—19-3 19

1920-21
SECTION Muz.
FILE NO. 437-19
SERIAL NO. 3

67322

1920-21.

From The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 16th March 1921.

Despatched 17th March 1921.

Received 19 MAR 1921 REV. SEC.

General No. Ref. G. No. 4713 of 19-2-
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting a report on the petition of Mr. M. A. Tirumalachar offering an endowment of Rs. 1000/- for certain services in the Jeer Sannidhi [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 21/3/[illegible]

M/E. 73

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 16th. Mar. 1921

Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

T.L.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., M.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, BANGALORE.

Adverting to your letter No.2519 Muz.437-19-2 dated 31st January 1920 forwarding for report a petition dated 8th January 1920 by one Mr. .A.Tirumala Char offering an endowment of Rs.1000/- for certain stipulated services to be rendered in the Jeer Sannidhi of the Melkote Temple, I have the honour to state that from the reports received from the temple Peshkar and the Deputy Amildar, it is seen that the petitioner Mr.M.A.Tirumala Char died about a year ago and that his brother Mr.Krishnakumara Alasingrachar is looking after the estates of the deceased. This Krishna Kumara Alasingra Char was sent for by the temple Peshkar and enquiry made. During the courseof enquiry Mr. Alasingra Char has given a written statement, that he is prepared to deposit with Government at any moment the sum of Rs.1000/- referred to in his deceased brother's petition provided the Government would undertake to conduct perpetually the sevas stipulated by his deceased brother at a monthly cost of Rs.5/ on each moola Nakshatra day in the Jeer Sannidhi at Melkote. The details of expenditure for the amount of Rs.5/- are given in the statement furnished by Mr. Alasingra Char.(enclosed). The Peshkar suggests that rather than treating the amount referred to herein as pudivat, it would be well to credit the same to the state temple funds, duly providing in the permanent temple tasdik a sum of Rs.5/- for the

the sevas stipulated by the donor. He further suggests that the seva in question may be conducted as if Government sevarthas. The Deputy Amildar concurs in the opinion of the peshkar.

Mr. Alasingara Char is ready to deposit the sum of Rs.1000/- if Government are only prepared to accept it under the conditions mentioned in his written statement appended hereto.

I am of opinion that the offer may be accepted and the sevas conducted through Government agency as desired by the donor.

The vernacular papers ending with French Rocks Sub Division Officer's No.M.H.C.813-19-20 dated 25th November 1920 are herewith submitted for reference and orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

KSS

Ref. Lr. No. 473 of 19-20 [illegible] 4/2/20

[illegible] 8001

He. 813/19-20

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

31-1-20 [illegible] 2319 / [illegible] 437-19-2 [illegible]

New 5/2/20 [illegible]

[illegible] 8-1-20 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3-2-1920 [illegible]

M. V. Balakrishna [illegible]

R. He. 813/19-20.

[illegible] 5486

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10/2/20

5.2.20.

[illegible signature]

[illegible]

[illegible] 519/446

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 3-3-20

[illegible]

[illegible]

499

[illegible]

ಪೇಟೆ ದಾರರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ರಾಮನವಮಿ ಉತ್ಸ [illegible]

[illegible] ತಿರುಮಲಾಚಾರ್

[illegible]

[illegible] ತಾ|| 5-2-20

[illegible] R. H. C. 813 ನೇ ನಂಬರು [illegible]

[illegible] 519/19-20 ನೇ ನಂಬರು [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2020 [illegible]

5.10.1920

ತಾ. 27/9/20

[signature]

[illegible]

188

7223 [illegible]

[illegible]

at once

9/10/20

[illegible]

17

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (1000)
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (1000) [illegible]
[illegible] (Temple Trust) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
(5) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

2. [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (State
Temple Fund) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (5) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] C. Raghava Rao
[illegible] 4-X-20 [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
8-1-20 [illegible]
13 7/18 [illegible]
[illegible]
4-X-20. C. Raghava Rao

813 / [illegible] - [illegible] 64

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1000/- [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3915 [illegible]

[illegible] Recd 19.10.20

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 5/- [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 188 [illegible]
4-10-20

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] I concur.
With the Peshkar.
15-10-20

R P Mamanappa
[illegible]

RH 6 813 / 19-20

Ref [illegible]

[illegible] 15.10.20

[illegible] 64 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

29.11.20

Sub Division Officer
French Rocks

25 11 20

[illegible]

[illegible] 4-10-1920.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1.200 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 579/3.3.20 [illegible]

[illegible] 19 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

5-11-2 [illegible]

[illegible]

3-0-0 [illegible]

1-8-0 [illegible]

0-8-0 [illegible]

5-0-0 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

II [illegible]

4.10.20

[illegible]

4.X.20. Taken before me
C. Raghavendra Rao
Peshkar

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1000 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 8-1-20 [illegible]
[illegible] 3 3-2 [illegible]
[illegible] 579 [illegible]
446
[illegible] 27 9-20 [illegible] 20
[illegible]
4-10-20 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
4-10-20